

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/743,004		12/29/2000	Mikio Iwamura	15689.63	15689.63 3051	
22913	7590	08/24/2006		EXAMINER		
WORKM.			CASCA, FRED A			
(F/K/A WC		NYDEGGER & SEE EMPLE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1000 EAG	LE GATE	TOWER	2617			
SALT LAK	CE CITY,	UT 84111	DATE MAILED: 08/24/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/743,004	IWAMURA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
	1 700 0000

	Examino	AIT OILL							
	Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano	2617							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following									
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In									
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).									
extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee									
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of									
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);									
(c) I hey are not deemed to place the application in bell appeal; and/or	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.									
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).								
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	•	•	•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will will will will will will will wi	ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .									
Claim(s) rejected: 3,4,7,9-13,38,39,43,44,50,51 and 56-6	<u>6</u> .								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> .									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:						
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s), MAR/17/2006							
13. ☑ Other: see attachment.									

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 09/743,004

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly added limitations (underlined) to proposed amended claims have never been claimed before in connection with subject amended claims, and change the scope of the rejected claims. In addition, some dependent claims now require limitations that have never been claimed before in the now required combination. Therefore, they raise new issues.

Application/Control Number: 09/743,004

Art Unit: 2617

ADVISORY ACTION

Art Unit - Notice

1. The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in

correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application

should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed 03/17/2006 fails to comply with the provisions

of 37 CFR 1.97, 1.98 and MPEP § 609 because listed application number does not match present

application; not docket number, nor filing date match. It has been placed in the application file,

but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits. Applicant is

advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in this

information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the date of

submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the time of

filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR 1.97(e).

See MPEP § 609.05(a).

ELISEO RAMOS-FELICIANO

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ERF/erf

August 18, 2006

Page 2